

For use with "Are Zoos Ethical?" on p. 22 of the magazine

## **ANALYZE & EVALUATE CLAIMS**

## **Analyzing Authors' Claims**

Read the debate on pages 22-23 about whether zoos are ethical, then follow the directions below to analyze each author's claims and decide who makes a stronger case.

<b>AUTHOR: Dennis Kelly</b> Director, Smithsonian's National Zoo	<b>AUTHOR: Marta Holmberg</b> People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA)
Author's main claim or argument in the debate:	Author's main claim or argument in the debate:
<b>REASON 1:</b> Name one reason the author gives for his claim.	<b>REASON 1:</b> Name one reason the author gives for her claim
List evidence the author gives to support Reason 1.	List evidence the author gives to support Reason 1.
<b>REASON 2:</b> Name another reason the author presents.	<b>REASON 2:</b> Name another reason the author presents.
List evidence the author gives to support Reason 2.	List evidence the author gives to support Reason 2.
<b>REASON 3:</b> Name a third reason the author presents.	<b>REASON 3:</b> Name a third reason the author presents.
List evidence the author gives to support Reason 3.	List evidence the author gives to support Reason 3.
What persuasive devices does the author use?	What persuasive devices does the author use?
Appeals to emotions	Appeals to emotions
Uses data or scholarly research	Uses data or scholarly research
Tells why the other side's argument is weak Other:	Tells why the other side's argument is weak Other:

**EVALUATE:** Which author do you think makes a more effective case? Do you spot any weaknesses—like a bias or missing information—in either argument? Explain on a separate sheet of paper.